



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	8

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) GERALD LINDEN (3) JEFFREY T. KNAPP  
(2) IGOR Y. KHANDROS (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of interview 7 FEB 1995

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☒ Yes ☐ No. If yes, brief description: VARIOUS EMBODIMENTS  
OF THE INVENTION WERE SHOWN. SOURCE OF RESILIENT / SPRING QUALITY OF POSSESSED  
IN CERTAIN EMBODIMENTS WAS SHOWN.  
Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☐ was not reached.

Claims discussed: ALL IN GENERAL

Identification of prior art discussed: KOBAYASHI et al.; CHRISTY et al.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: BEYOND DEMONSTRATION, KOBAYASHI et al. AND THE CURRENT INVENTION WERE DISCUSSED. THE SPRING/RESILIENT QUALITIES OF THE INVENTION WERE DISCUSSED. ALSO, IT WAS DISCUSSED THAT THE COATING IN KOBAYASHI et al. IS ~~NOT~~ AN ORGANOMETALLIC CPD. AND NOT A METAL/ORGANIC COMPOSITE.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

Unless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

☐ Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action.

Jeffrey T. Knapp  
Examiner's Signature